



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,698	11/20/2003	Joseph A. Pruitt	812495/220 (10.83)	9310
64553 7590 11/18/2008 Nixon Peabody LLP (F5 PATENTS) Gunnar G. Leinberg 1100 Clinton Square Rochester, NY 14604				
EXAMINER				
VETTER, DANIEL				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3628				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/18/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/717,698

Applicant(s)

PRUITT ET AL.

Examiner

DANIEL P. VETTER

Art Unit

3628

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DANIEL P. VETTER.(3) Peter Prommer.(2) John Hayes.(4) Brad Taub.

Date of Interview: 05 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 44.

Identification of prior art discussed: dePinto, Force.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments to the claims were discussed. The proposed amendments such as inclusion of a computerized client and broadly providing automatic means for performing the steps would not render the claims patentable. More detailed amendments are necessary to overcome the cited art, and a new search would be required. Additionally, the presented claims remain proper subject matter for class 705.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/JOHN WHAYES/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628